

J. MIKE WALKER '66 DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY

AutoCone: An OmniDirectional Robot for Lane-Level Cone Placement

Jacob Hartzer

IV 2020

Presentation Outline

- Background and Problem
- System Architecture
- Kinematics
- Filtering
- Results

Motivation

In the US from 2011-2017:

- Transportation events caused 76% of roadway work zone fatalities^{[\[1\]](https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/highwayworkzones/default.html)}
- In 60% of these events, the worker was struck by a vehicle in the work zone.

To improve worker safety:

- Traffic control devices should be used
- Signage, barrels, or cone delineators are effective.

However:

- Placing control devices itself is dangerous
- Temporary structures are hard to implement

Therefore:

Automatically deploy cones from work vehicle

AM

TEXAS A&M

Problem Formulation

System Requirements:

- Place three cones in 40 foot increments in a wedge
- Begin the wedge 80 feet from the end of the vehicle
- Operate on highway surfaces unaffected by small debris
- Remain within the lane despite road curvature
- Not rely on road-embedded sensors
- Cost less than \$1,500 per cone unit

Platform Selection

Design Considerations:

- Cost was a major factor
- Holonomic systems can easily fix operator error
- Three wheeled omni wheeled systems are lower cost than Mecanum wheel systems
- Motor with encoders would aid in dead-reckoning
- New Ardusimple RTK GPS gives low-cost, high accuracy positioning
- Cameras and LiDAR considered, not chosen

Ā|Й

TEXAS A&M

System Architecture

- Control deployment and retrieval from main vehicle
- Each cone runs a ROS core
- nimbro_network to pass information between ROS cores on each cone
	- Collision avoidance
	- Eventual cooperative localization
- RTK base station sends RTCM corrections to cones

Cone Rover

TEXAS A&M

Base Station

ĀļĀ

 $\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\Phi}_l \\ \dot{\Phi}_b \\ \dot{\Phi}_r \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{r} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & R \\ 1 & 0 & R \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_l \\ \dot{y}_l \\ \dot{\theta}_l \end{bmatrix}$

 $\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_l \ \dot{y}_l \ \dot{\theta}_l \end{bmatrix} = \frac{r}{3} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & -1 \ -\sqrt{3} & 0 & \sqrt{3} \ \frac{1}{P} & \frac{1}{P} & \frac{1}{P} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\Phi}_l \ \dot{\Phi}_b \ \dot{\Phi}_r \end{bmatrix}$

ĀĪM

TEXAS A&M

Extended Kalman Filter

- The forward kinematics form the state prediction equation
- Measurement updates are given by the RTK GPS

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k|k-1} = f(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}_{k-1})$ $\bm{P}_{k|k-1} = \bm{F}_k \bm{P}_{k-1|k-1} \bm{F}_k^T + \bm{Q}_k$ $\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_k = \boldsymbol{z}_k - h(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k|k-1})$ $\boldsymbol{S}_k = \boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{P}_{k|k-1} \boldsymbol{H}_k^T + \boldsymbol{R}_k$ $\boldsymbol{K}_k = \boldsymbol{P}_{k|k-1} \boldsymbol{H}_k^T \boldsymbol{S}_k^{-1}$ $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k|k} = \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k|k-1} + \boldsymbol{K}_k \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_k$ $\boldsymbol{P}_{k|k} = (\boldsymbol{I}-\boldsymbol{K}_k \boldsymbol{H}_k) \boldsymbol{P}_{k|k-1}$

AM

TEXAS A&M AM

Proportional Control

- Only utilize position error
- No understanding of path information
- Simpler to use
- Affected by motor spool up/down

Control Schema

0.5 meter maximum error

Kinematic Control

- Incorporates path information
- Lower lateral errors
- 0.2 meter overshoot error
- Overshoot errors can be reduced with tuning

X Position [m]

GPS-Denied Environments $\overline{A^{m}}$ **TEXAS A&M**

On highways, GPS is not always available

- Overpasses and bridges obscure GPS constellation
- Dead-reckoning can be subject to drift

Proportional Control Schema

- Susceptible to GPS errors
- Maximum error of 7.72 meters

Kinematic Control Schema

- Even with poor GPS data, performs well
- System remained within lane width throughout deployment
- Maximum error of 1.97 meters

AM

TEXAS A&M

● Landmark-based corrections and knowledge of home vehicle

• Consider the addition of Ultra-wideband ranging

Lanelet Incorporation

- Utilize lanelet information (e.g. OpenMapBox) for path data
- Lane dependent paths

Estimation Improvements

Future Work

 $[4]$

Conclusions

- System Cost: \$1,600
- Operable on highway surfaces
- Not subject to operator initialization error
- Lane level wedge placement achievable
- Multi-robot coordination
- RMS error of 0.46 meters in GPS-denied environment

TEXAS A&M

AM

Support for this research was provided in part by a grant from the North Texas Tollway Authority